

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/645,156	JUNG, JOO-SUCK	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

**All Participants:**

(1) Terrence R. Till.

(2) P. Greeley.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 September 2006

**Time:** 9:45am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 35 USC 112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:  
 1-3

Prior art documents discussed:  
 None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 9/18/06 the examiner called applicant's representative to inform him that the limitations of claim 4 incorporated into claim 1 make the claim confusing as there are no first and second brackets claimed. It was not realized, at the time the Final Office Action was mailed, that claims 2, 3 and 4 all depended on claim 1; not the claim immediately preceding it. The examiner proposed adding the subject matter of claims 2 and 3 into claim 1 to establish antecedent basis for the third and fourth brackets. On 9/26/06, Applicant's representative approved adding the subject matter of claims 2 and 3 to claim 1, resolving the 112, second paragraph issue..